

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/087,994	03/05/2002	Noriyuki Yamamoto	900-420	4459	
23117 7:	590 05/08/2006		EXAMINER		
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			CREPEAU, JONATHAN		
901 NORTH G ARLINGTON,	LEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOO VA 22203	JK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1746		
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/08/2006	DATE MAILED: 05/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief				

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/087,994	YAMAMOTO ET AL.	YAMAMOTO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Jonathan S. Crepeau	1746			

•		/ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l .
	Jonathan S. Crepeau	1746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 30 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	diamag with 27 OFR 44 27 mount by	61-4	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ⁻ w);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 5,9,10,12,13,25,28,30,32,34 and 35. Claim(s) objected to: 18.		l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: 2.8,11 and 22.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	red.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)	
13.		Jonathan Crepeau Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1746	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding amended claims 2, 8, 11, and 22, Applicant urges that the references do not fairly suggest that the biocatalyst layer is located on an anode-side current collector. However, Suzuki teaches a fuel cell comprising current collectors in Figure 10. In Figure 1, Karube teaches an immobilized cell layer directly on the electrode. Taken in combination, the references fairly suggest the immobilized cell layer on the current collector of the Suzuki cell.

It is noted that claim 11 remains rejected under 35 USC 112 first and second paragraphs.

ſ

It is further noted that claim 18 appears to be a substantial duplicate of claim 12 and is objected to on these grounds.